



United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

701110	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO. 09/675,671	09/29/2000	Yoshito Shibauch	4035-0116P	3850
7590 01/29/2003 Birch Stewart Kolasch & Birch LLP			EXAM	INER
P O Box 747	VA 22404-0747		TRAN LIEN, THUY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1761 DATE MAILED: 01/29/2003	3 /4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

09/675,671

Applicant(s)

Yoshito et al

Office Action Summary Examiner

Lien Tran

Art Unit 1761



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
	for Reply				
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
- Extens	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the p	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th	he statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely			
- Failure	to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any			
Status					
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov. 14,	2002			
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) \square This act	ion is non-final.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	tion of Claims				
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>12-16</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	Claim(s)				
	Claim(s) <u>12-16</u>				
	Claim(s)				
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	tion Papers				
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.			
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
	application from the International Burea				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the				
14) 📙	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachme	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 🕒			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	primation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other:			
	· ————				

Application/Control Number: 09/675671

Art Unit: 1761

- 1. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayfield in view of Mally et al and Nakajima for the same reason set forth in paragraph 3 of the previous office action.
- In the response filed Nov. 14 2002, applicant argues the present invention includes no application of an edible film and if the external layers were sprayed with the edible film of Mayfield, the external layers would not be able to bond on one side with an intermediate layer. This argument is not persuasive. The product as claimed does not exclude the edible film disclosed by Mayfield. Furthermore, Mayfield also teaches the application of an edible film to the exterior surface of the external layers. The application of the film to the intermediate layer is only one embodiment of the Mayfield's disclosure. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the film to only the surface when it is desired to prevent sticking. Furthermore, applicant has not shown that the application of the film will prevent bonding. The intermediate layer by nature of its location will bond to the external layers. With regard to the Mally et al reference. applicant argues since only one surface of the product is flat, it would be impossible to pile the Mally et al finished product. There is no reason to conclude that a slightly raised surface can not be piled; furthermore, when piling with another material, it would have been obvious to use the flat surface. With respect to the Nakjima reference, applicant argues there is no explicit teaching of flat or platy layers. Nakjima teaches forming a sandwich foods from two separate sheets that are pressed together. The sheets are flat layers. Furthermore, it would have been within the skill

Application/Control Number: 09/675671

Art Unit: 1761

of one in the art to alter the shape of the materials when the materials are used to make layered

food product such as that taught by Mayfield.

Applicant's arguments filed Nov. 14, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not 3.

persuasive.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time 4.

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien Tran whose telephone number is 703-308-1868. The examiner can

normally be reached on Wed-Fri. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

January 24, 2003

LIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

oup 1700

Page 3